

PATENT

D&M File: KCX-771 (19263)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Re: Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

In re Application of Eric C. Steindorf)	Examiner: Nihir B. Patel
)	
Serial No: 10/743,260)	Art Unit: 3772
)	
Filed: December 22, 2003)	Deposit Acct. No: 04-1403
)	
Confirmation No: 4463)	Customer No: 22827

Title: FACE MASK HAVING BAFFLE LAYER FOR IMPROVED FLUID RESISTANCE

- ☐ **NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Pursuant to 37 CFR 41.31, Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Appeals and interferences from the last decision of the Examiner.
- ☐ **PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW:** Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s) [No more than five (5) pages may be provided.]
- ☒ **BRIEF** on appeal in this application pursuant to 37 CFR 41.37 is transmitted herewith (1 copy).
- ☐ An **ORAL HEARING** is respectfully requested under 37 CFR 41.47 (due within two months after Examiner's Answer).
- ☐ Reply Brief under 37 CFR 41.41(b) is transmitted herewith (1 copy).
- ☐ "Small entity" verified statement filed: [] herewith [] previously.

7. **FEE CALCULATION:**

	Fees
If box 1 above is X'd enter \$ 540.00	\$ <u>0.00</u>
If box 2 above is X'd enter \$ 0.00 (no fee)	\$ <u>0.00</u>
If box 3 above is X'd enter \$ 540.00	\$ <u>540.00</u>
If box 4 above is X'd enter \$ 1,080.00	\$ <u>0.00</u>
If box 5 above is X-d enter \$ 0.00 (no fee)	\$ <u>0.00</u>

PETITION is hereby made to extend the original due date of _____,
hereby made for an extension to cover the date this response is filed for which
the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month \$130; 2 months \$490; 3 months \$1,110;
4 months \$1,730, 5 months \$2,350) \$ 0.00

SUBTOTAL: \$ 540.00

Less any previous extension fee paid since above original due date. - \$ 0.00

Less any previous fee paid for prior Notice of Appeal since Board did not render a decision on the merits. MPEP § 1204.01 - \$ 0.00

Less any previous fee paid for submitting Brief on prior Appeal since Board did not render a decision on the merits. MPEP § 1204.01 - \$ 0.00

SUBTOTAL: \$ 540.00

If "small entity" verified statement filed ☐ previously,
☐ herewith, enter one-half (½) of subtotal and subtract - \$ 0.00

TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED: \$ 540.00

- ☐ Fee enclosed.
- ☐ Charge fee to our Deposit Account/Order Nos. in the heading hereof (for which purpose one additional copy of this sheet is attached)
- ☒ Charge to credit card (attach Credit Card Payment Form – PTO 2038)
- ☐ Fee NOT required since paid in prior appeal in which the Board of Appeals did not render a decision on the merits.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any fees in addition to the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (deficiency only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account No. shown in the heading hereof. This statement does not authorize charge of the issue fee in this case.

DORITY & MANNING ATTORNEYS AT LAW, P.A.

ADDRESS:

Post Office Box 1449
Greenville, SC 29602 USA
Customer ID No.: 22827
Telephone: (864) 271-1592
Facsimile: (864) 233-7342

By: James M. Bagarazzi Reg. No: 29,609

Signature: James M. Bagarazzi

Date: Nov 30, 2010